

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 DAMALI TAYLOR (CABN 262489)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900
6 San Jose, California 95113
7 Telephone: (408) 535-5061
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
Email: John.Glang@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for the United States of America

FILED

MAY 18 2012

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

14 Plaintiff,)

15 v.)

16 DOMINGO SOLORIO)
17 ALBERTO QUINTERO, and)
JORGE QUINTERO,)

18 Defendants.)
19)
20)

No. CR 12-0383-DLJ

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER
SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

21 The above-captioned defendants and the United States of America, by and through their
22 counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate that the court may exclude the period of time from
23 the date of the defendants' arraignment on the indictment in this case before United States
24 Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd on May 16, 2012 through and including May 24, 2012 from
25 the computation of the period of time within which the trial must commence for the reasons set

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 forth in the proposed order below.

2
3 DATED: May 16, 2012

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

5 /s/
6 DAMALI TAYLOR
Assistant United States Attorney

7 /s/
8 ALFREDO M. MORALES
Attorney for defendant Domingo Solorio

9 /s/
10 HUGH A. LEVINE
Attorney for defendant Alberto Quintero


11 /s/
12 ALLEN H. SCHWARTZ
13 Attorney for defendant Jorge Quintero

14
15 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

16 Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), and the stipulation
17 of the parties, the court excludes the period of time from May 16, 2012 through and including
18 May 24, 2012 from the computation of the period of time within which the trial must commence.
19 The court FINDS that the ends of justice served by the delay outweigh the best interest of the
20 public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The court bases this finding on the need of counsel
21 for the defendants to review discovery in this case that has been and will be provided to them by
22 the government and to afford counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,
23 within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

24
25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26
27 DATED: 5/18/12

28 
HOWARD R. LLOYD
United States Magistrate Judge
Northern District of California